

n-96-01  $\overline{111}-19-3$ WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ™ Number 103

Pages 23069-23270

PART' I



## NOTICE TO AGENCIES

In order to minimize costs of publishing the large volume of information expected under the Privacy Act of 1974, the Office of the Federal Register will accept magnetic tape or word processing equipment input by prior arrangement only, Call the Federal Register Privacy Act coordinator on 523–5240.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

MAJOR NOISE SOURCES-EPA identifies certain prod-

Thrown-Por be we say proper because

NOTICES

## [FRL 374-7]

# IDENTIFICATION OF PRODUCTS AS MAJOR SOURCES OF NOISE

Ü

RESE

ĸ

ιl 4 r.

### Report

Report

The Noise Control Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-574, 86 Stat. 1234) established, by statutory mandate, a national policy "to promote an environment for all Americans free from noise that jeopardizes their health and welfare." The Act provides for a division of powers between the Federal and state and local governments in which the primary Federal responsibility is for noise source emission control. The states and other political subdivisions retain rights and authorities to establish and enforce controls on environmental noise through licensing, regulation, or restriction of the use, operation, or movement of noise sources and on the levels of noise permitted in their environments. As specified in the Noise Control Act of 1972, the first step toward promulgation of noise standards for new products is identification of those

coducts that are major sources of noise. ction 5(b) of the Act provides as fol-

ws: "The Administrator shall, after consuitation with appropriate Federal agen-cies, compile and publish a report or series of reports (1) identifying products (or classes of products) which in his judgment are major sources of noise, and (2) giving information on techniques for control of noise from such products, incontrol of hoise from such products, in-cluding available data on the technology, costs, and alternate methods of noise control. The first such report shall be published not later than eighteen months after the date of enactment of this Act."

Bection 6(a) (1) (C) sets out four cate-gories of products that must be considcred by the Administrator for noise regulation.

1. Construction equipment.

2. Transportation equipment (including recreational vehicles and related equipment) 3. Any motor or engine (including any equipment of which an engine or a motor is

an integral part).
4. Electrical or electronic equipment.

On June 21, 1974 (39 FR 22297), the Administrator published the first report under section 5(b) identifying two products as major sources of noise: Medium and heavy duty trucks and portable air compressors. Proposed regulations have been published that would provide for the control of noise produced by these roducts, That report also listed a num-r of other candidates for possible

Approach used to assess environmental impact. To accomplish the broad intent of the Noise Control Act of 1972, the EPA has developed an overall framework for assessing the environmental impact of all the sources of environmental noise. The first step of this development was the Title IV report ("Report to the President and Congress on Noise," Doc. No. 92-03, 92nd Congress 2nd Session, February 1972), which provided an initial data base on noise reduction technology appropriate to various product types, en-vironmental noise levels, and criteria re-Archite to public health and welfare. The second step was the publication of the "Criteria Document" ("Public Health and Welfare Criteria for Noise," EPA, July 27, 1973) as required by section 5(a) (1) of the Noise Control Act of 1972. The third step was the publication of the "Levels Document" ("Information on Levels of Environemntal Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety," EFA, March 1974) as required by section \$\( \frac{F(A)}{2} \). 5(a)(2).

The levels identified in the "Levels Document" are baseline target goals based on the risks to public health and welfare from noise pollution without regard for cost or technical feasibility. To tentify the levels, EPA selected two mulative energy measures for quantiing noise exposures that can be related to human response.

1. Leg. the A-weighted equivalent sound lovel (the source level in dBA conveying the tame sound energy as the actual time-varying sound during a given period) was colected as

a descriptor of noise relative to long-term

a descriptor of noise relative to long-term inzard to hearing.

2. Idn, the day-night sound level (the 24 hour less with a 10 dild penalty applied to the period from 10 p.m. to 7 h.m.) was selected as a descriptor of noise relative to interference with human activities, e.g., speech communication, steep, and other factors that may lead to annoyance.

An abbreviated summary of the identified levels is given in Table 1.

TABLE 1 .- Noise levels protective of health and welfare

Human responso

Hearing loss (8 hr)	75
Hearing loss (24 hr)	70
Outsloor interference and unnoyance Indoor interference and aunoyance	

Analytic procedures. The impact of an environmental noise has two basic dimensions: extensity and intensity. Extensity of impact is measured in terms of the numbers of people impacted regardless of the severity of the impact. Intensity, or severity, of an individual's impact is measured in terms of the level

of the environmental noise. For analytic purposes, it is desirable to have a single number representing the magnitude of the total noise impact in terms of both extensity and intensity in a specific environmental situation. With a single noise impact scale, changes in impact can be evaluated in terms simple percentage changes from the ini-tial value. This need led to the use by EPA of the Equivalent Noise Impact Analysis Method. An example showing the nature and use of the method is EPA's "Project Report, Noise Standards for Civil Subsonic Turbojet Engine-Powred Airplanes (Retrofit and Fleet Noise Level)", 16 December 1974, obtainable from the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Noise Abatement and Control, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Va. 20460. In this method, the intensity of an environmental noise impact at a specific location is characterized by the Fractional Impact (FI)

The fractional impact of a noise en-vironment on an individual as used by EPA is proportional to the amount (in decibels) that the noise level exceeds the appropriate level identified in the "Lev-els Document" as shown in Table 1. The fractional impact is zero when the noise level is at or below the identified level. The fractional impact rises to 1.0 at 20 decibels above the identified level and can exceed unity in situations in which the noise level exceeds 20 decides above the identified level. The range from zero to 20 decibels above the criterion level represents the range between those noise levels that are totally acceptable and those noise levels that are totally unacceptable to the individual in terms of annoyance response and speech interference. The total Equivalent Noise Impact (ENI) is then determined by summing the individual fractional impacts for all people affected by the environment. In this counting, then, two people exposed to 10 decibels above the identifled level (fractional impact = 0.5) would be equivalent to one person exposed to 20 decibels above the identified level (fractional impact = 1.0). The ENI can thus be considered as the equivalent number of people 100 percent impacted by the noise environment.

To determine which sources ought to

be identified for regulation, EPA con-siders their fractionally weighted noise impact. This measure includes both the intensity (loudness) and extensity (population affected) of noise source impact, Nevertheless, it cannot completely supplant the Administrator's judgment as to an appropriate sequence of noise source regulation. In addition, other factors such as necessary lead time for development of a regulation, voluntary industry noise standards, interrelation-ship of regulations, and relative availability of data can affect the sequence of

Identification.
Candidates for major noise sources. The noise impact method has been applied in analyses using available noise data on products and classes of products distributed in commerce, population ex-posure data in various locations, and "Levels Document" criteria to develep a list of product types for possible consideration for regulatory action. This list is reflected in Table 2. In applying judgment, as prescribed in section 5(b) of. the Act, as to which of these product types warrant identification as major sources of noise, those candidates having cources of noise, those candidates having cumulative noise levels in normal use contributing to environmental noise levels in excess of "Levels Document" criteria are considered major noise source candidates. Using the fractional noise Impact technique and available data, further consideration is given to those candidates contributing the greatest impact. Both the contribution to outdoor environmental noise and the impact on passengers and operators are included in the analysis.

TABLE 2-POSSIBLE CAMPIDATES FOR NOISE SOURCES

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

Automobiles (including sports cars, compacts, and standard passenger cars)
Buses
Licelium and Heavy Duty Trucks (already identified) Light Trucks Motorcycles Railroad locomotives Rapid Transit-rail Special auxiliary equipment on trucks Tires

AIR TRANSPORTATION (NOT CANODATES FOR BECTION & REQUIATION

Business let alreraft Commercial subsonic jet aircraft Commercial supersonic jet aircraft Heliconters Propeller driven small sirplanes Short haul aircraft.

CONSTRUCTION/INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT

Air compressors (already identified) Backhoes Chain saws Concrete vibrators Cranes, derrick Cranes, mobile Dozers (track and wheel)

an elegan tales (although a diff

Regine driven industrial equipment
Generators
Auters
ders (track And wheel)
intr
avement breakers
Pavers
Pille drivers
Preumatic and hydrautic tools
Power saws
Pumps
Thock drills
Rollers
Estrapers
Shovels

#### RETREATIONAL VISIDLES

Snowmobiles Motorboats Offroad motorcycles (including minicycles)? Other off highway vehicles

LAWN CARE

Edgers
Clarden tractors
Hedge clippers
Home tractors
Lawn mowers
Enow and leaf blowers
Tillers
Trimmors

### HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES

Air conditioners
Clothes dryers
Clothes washers
Dehumidifars
Dishwashers
Electric dan openers
Electric henters
Electric knifes sharpeners
Tectric fans
Food blendsrs
Food disposals (grinders)
Freezers
Hair clippers
Hair clippers
Hair dryem
Home shop tools
Humidiners
Elide/movie pro tectors
Vacuum cleaners
Window fans

Identification of major noise sources. EPA hereby identifies the following products as major sources of noise in accordance with section 5(b) of the Noise Control Act of 1972; motorcycles, buses, whice and track loaders and wheel and track loaders are wheel and track loaders tearth moving equipment), thick transport retriseration upits, and track mounted solid waste compactors (special auxiliary equipment on trucks). Additional information, as preseribed in account information, as preseribed in section 5(b) (2) of the Act, will be published in advance of rulemaking. For the products identified, this will include information on techniques for control of noise, available data on technology, costs, and alternate methods of noise control. Motorcycles, buses, wheel and track loaders and wheel and track dezers contribute significant impacts to outdoor encommental noise and on passengers/

leaders and wheel and track dozers contribute significant impacts to outdoor enommental noise and on passengers/ rators. Identification of special purse truck equipment, such as transport refrigeration units and colid waste conpactor units, provides for noise control standards consistent with standards already proposed for new medium and heavy duty trucks. It is recognized that the noise impact from such special purpose equipment alone is of a lower order of magnitude. However, in view of the actions airendy taken to control noise emissions from medium and heavy duty frucks, control of these sources is required to avoid reducing the effectiveness of these regulations.

of those regulations.

In the devalopment of regulations for those products identified as major sources of noise, possible labeling requirements will be examined as well as noise control standards.

control standards.

EPA will be selecting other products for future identification from among the large number of possible candidates listed in Table 2. The order in which they are identified will depend upon the various considerations discussed above, of which fractional noise impact is the major, but not exclusive, consideration. Automobiles and snowmobiles are currently under study. The size and complexity of the automotive industry and the extensive effort ne essary to adequately evaluate cost and available technology make immediate regulation of automobile noise impossible. The EPA judgment to temporarily defer identification of snowmobiles takes into recount consideration of voluntary standards being developed by the snowmobile industry, Major progress has been made in that regard, and continuing action is underway, EPA is in the process of evaluating this voluntary industry effort. In so doing, EPA is taking into account the fact that much of the noise impact associated with enowmobiles affects operators and passengers in recreational and other voluntary activities. EPA also is developing information on the need for labeling of nowmobiles under section 8 of the Act, working in conjunction with the Consumer Product Sciety Commission.

EPA also intends to study during Fiscal Year 1976 light trucks, motomotos, chain

EPA also intends to study during Fiscal Year 1976 light trucks, motorboats, chain saws, tires, pneumatic and hydraulic tools, pile drivers, lawn care configuent, and other special auxiliary configuent on

and other special auxiliary canipment on trucks for possible future identification. This report is issued under the authority of the Noise Control Act of 1972, section 5(b) (1), 85 Stat. 1236 (42 U.S.C. 4904(b) (1)).

Dated: May 20, 1975.

Russell E. Train, Administrator,

[FR Doc.75-13758 Filed 5-27-75;8;45 am]